LAW OF EVIDENCE B: 2018

OVERVIEW

PURPOSE OF THE COURSE:

For the student to acquire a deeper knowledge of certain aspects of the law of evidence not dealt with in Law of Evidence A. It presupposes that the student has already passed Law of Evidence A.

Together with Course A, this course should cover all the main aspects of the law of evidence encountered in practice.

HOW THIS FITS INTO THE OVERALL DEGREE STRUCTURE

As a procedural (adjectival) law subject, this course equips the student to apply the substantive law and law of criminal and civil procedure in courts and tribunals in South Africa.

CREDIT VALUE: 10

This works out as follows:

18 hours 26 lectures @ 45 mins each

0.75 hours 1 written test

2 hours 1 written examination

79.25 hours Individual learning (pre- and post-lecture reading, preparation of written

assignment, test and examination preparation)

Total: 100 hours' work

ASSUMPTIONS OF PRIOR LEARNING

General exposure to the idea of legal principles (legal theory, constitutional law, interpretation of statutes, criminal procedure, civil procedure), as well as the whole spectrum of private law, such as contract, property, delict. Ability to read and interpret statute law, read and interpret decided cases in law reports, apply the doctrine of precedent. Ability to analyse a set of facts; identify the legal problem contained therein, apply the appropriate law to derive a solution.

OUTCOMES

CRITICAL CROSS-FIELD OUTCOMES (CCFOs)

This course should contribute to the following critical outcomes:

- a) identify and solve problems
- b) collect, analyse and evaluate information
- c) communicate effectively
- d) recognise problem solving contexts
- e) reflect on and explore effective learning strategies
- f) critique existing legal rules

Law of Evidence B – 2018 Page 1 of 9

SPECIFIC INTENDED OUTCOMES

OUTCOME Students will be able to:	LINKED CRITICAL OUTCOME	ASSESSMENT CRITERIA	ASSESSMENT TASKS
1. Describe the various types of admissions by accused persons and parties to civil cases receivable as evidence, the rules applicable to each type, identify admissions of the various types from a factual scenario, and apply the appropriate rules to the admissions so identified	a, b, c, d, e, f	 Describes Effect of admissions Distinction between formal and informal admissions Distinction in criminal case between confessions and other admissions Correct rules for admissibility for each type Identifies and applies rules in practical scenario 	paragraph style description <u>or</u> factual problem requiring
2. Describe what privileged evidence is; describe the two main categories of privileged evidence (private and State) as well as the sub-categories within each main category; identify a privileged occasion from a factual scenario and apply the applicable rule(s)	a, b, c, d, f	 Describes Nature of privilege Two main categories Distinction between main categories Sub-categories within each category Identifies privilege in factual scenario and applies applicable rules 	Ditto

Law of Evidence B – 2018 Page 2 of 9

OUTCOME Students will be able to:	LINKED CRITICAL OUTCOME	ASSESSMENT CRITERIA	ASSESSMENT TASKS
3. Describe what hearsay evidence is; what the approach of courts to it is; identify hearsay evidence in a factual scenario and argue for its admission or exclusion		Describes hearsay evidence approach of courts to hearsay Identifies hearsay in practical scenario and applies correct rules	Ditto
4. Identify and describe a previous consistent statement by a witness, apply the relevant rules to a factual scenario so as to decide when a previous consistent statement is allowable in evidence or not	a, b, c, d	Describes previous consistent statement rules relating to admissibility/inadmissibility thereof Identifies previous consistent statement in practical scenario and applies correct rules	Ditto
5. Describe the rules relating to the admissibility of evidence about prior conduct of an accused person tending to show a pattern or disposition; apply the rules to a factual scenario so as to decide whether a court should permit or refuse evidence about such prior conduct		Describes • rules relating to admissibility of evidence about prior conduct of accused Identifies in practical scenario whether prior conduct of accused would be admissible or not	Ditto

Law of Evidence B – 2018 Page 3 of 9

OUTCOME Students will be able to:	LINKED CRITICAL OUTCOME	ASSESSMENT CRITERIA	ASSESSMENT TASKS
6. Describe what entrapment is and the rules relating to the receipt of entrapment evidence; identify entrapment in a factual scenario and apply the appropriate principles in order to decide whether to allow or disallow such evidence; critically examine appropriateness of provisions of s 252A	a, b, c, d, g	 Describes Concept of entrapment Common law rules relating to Rules laid down in s 252A of Criminal Procedure Act Critically examines appropriateness of provisions of s 252A Identifies and applies appropriate rules in practical scenario 	
7. Describe the history of the approach of South African and selected foreign courts to evidence obtained in violation of a constitutional right; identify unconstitutionally obtained evidence from a factual scenario and argue for it to be allowed or disallowed.	a, b, c, d, g	Describes development of approach of courts in SA and elsewhere to evidence obtained in violation of rights Identifies unconstitutionally obtained evidence in a practical scenario and applies appropriate principle to decide whether to allow or reject such evidence	Ditto

Law of Evidence B – 2018 Page 4 of 9

TEACHING METHODS

- Lectures (mainly with the aid of PowerPoint slides)
- Skeleton slides for teaching purpose only
- Reading list
- Class discussion
- Assignment
- Test and examination

RESOURCES AND OWN NOTE MAKING

NB: Lecture slides <u>will not be made available to students</u>. Students are expected to use the textbooks below and cases cited to make their own notes.

TEXT BOOKS: Zeffert and Paizes: Essential Evidence (Latest

edition) ISBN: 9780409048391

Schwikkard & Van der Merwe: Principles of Evidence

(3rd edition – 2009)

Adrian Bellenge're, Robin Palmer et al: The Law of Evidence in South Africa, Basic Principles. Oxford

(1st edition – 2013)

See also

South African Law of Evidence (2nd ed) by Zeffertt, Paizes and Skeen (2009) Commentary on the Criminal Procedure Act, by Du Toit and others (looseleaf)

ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

(For written assignments, and, with due allowance for time and other constraints, for tests and examinations)

Presentation: 10%

Structure: 10%

Content: 20%

Understanding: 30%

Insight: 30%

Law of Evidence B – 2018 Page 5 of 9

COURSE CONTENT

TOPIC 1: INFORMAL ADMISSIONS IN CIVIL AND CRIMINAL TRIALS (Week 1)

- 1.1 Requirements for admissibility
- 1.2 Admission by conduct
- 1.3 Vicarious admissions
- 1.4 Examples of exceptions to the vicarious admission rule
- 1.5 Statements made without prejudice
- 1.6 Admissions made by accused in criminal trial

TOPIC 2: CONFESSIONS IN CRIMINAL TRIALS (Week 2)

- 2.1 Introduction
- 2.2 Distinction between admissions and confessions
- 2.3 The meaning of a confession
- 2.4 Requirements of admissibility
- 2.5 Burden of proof
- 2.6 Procedure: trial within a trial
- 2.7 Inadmissible confessions which subsequently become admissible
- 2.8 Confession only admissible against maker
- 2.9 Civil cases

TOPIC 3: FORMAL ADMISSIONS IN CRIMINAL AND CIVIL TRIALS (Week 3)

- 1.1 Nature and rationale: distinction between formal and informal admissions
- 1.2 Civil proceedings
- 1.3 Criminal proceedings: common law; s 220 of CPA
- 1.4 Admissions by cross-examiner

TOPIC 4: PRIVATE PRIVILEGE (Week 3 and Week 4)

- 4.1 Nature of
- 4.2 Categories of private privilege
- 4.2 Rules applying to different categories

TOPIC 5: STATE PRIVILEGE (Week 5)

- 5.1 Nature of
- 5.2 Distinctions between State privilege and private privilege
- 5.3 Development in English law
- 5.4 Development in South African law
- 5.5 Categories of State privilege
- 5.6 Constitutionality of informer privilege

TOPIC 6: HEARSAY EVIDENCE (Week 6 and Week 7)

- 6.1 Introduction
- 6.2 Legislation
- 6.3 What is hearsay?
- 6.4 Admissibility provisions
- 6.5 Application:

Law of Evidence B – 2018 Page 6 of 9

- 6.5.1 Hearsay communication whether verbal or non-verbal, express or implied
- 6.5.2 Implied assertions
- 6.5.3 Person upon whose credibility the probative value of the evidence depends
- 6.5.4 Tendered to prove the truth of the communication
- 6.5.5 Res gestae
- 6.6 Statements by deceased persons
- 6.7 Statements about physical or mental condition
- 6.8 Documentary hearsay

TOPIC 7: PREVIOUS CONSISTENT STATEMENTS (Week 8)

- 7.1 The rule: previous consistent statements are inadmissible
- 7.2 Rebutting a claim of recent fabrication
- 7.3 Identification
 - 7.3.1 Identification parade
 - 7.3.2 Voice identification
 - 7.3.2 Identification by dog
 - 7.3.4 Evaluation of evidence of identity
- 7.4 Complaints of sexual offences
 - 7.4.1 Which offences?
 - 7.4.2 Requirements
 - 7.4.3 Effect and probative value
- 7.5 Part VI of the Civil Proceedings Evidence Act, 1965 (sec 222 of CPA)

TOPIC 8: SIMILAR FACT EVIDENCE (Week 9 and Week 10)

- 8.1 Similar facts admissible if there is logical connection
- 8.2 Connecting factor (nexus)
- 8.3 Similar facts (*probandum* and *probans*)
 - 8.3.1 The act
 - 8.3.2 Acts of preparation
 - 8.3.3 Voluntariness
 - 8.3.4 Opportunity, means and capacity
 - 8.3.5 Identity and alibi
 - 8.3.6 Systematic conduct
 - 8.3.7 Negligence
 - 8.3.8 Intent
 - 8.3.9 Motive
 - 8.3.10 Character or nature
 - 8.3.11 Association
 - 8.3.12 Relationship
 - 8.3.13 Clarification of ambiguity
- 8.4 Related matters
 - 8.4.1 Judicial discretion
 - 8.4.2 Different charges
 - 8.4.3 Provisional admission
 - 8.4.4 Previous convictions
 - 8.3.5 S 211 of CPA
 - 8.3.6 S 240 and 241 of CPA

Law of Evidence B – 2018 Page 7 of 9

TOPIC 9: **ENTRAPMENT (Week 11)**

- 9.1 Meaning of entrapment
- 9.2 Common law approach
- Advent of s 252A of Criminal Procedure Act 9.3
- 9.4 Detailed rules of s 252A
- 9.5 Criticism of s 252A

10.11.1

10.11.2 10.11.3

- Relationship with Constitution 9.6
- 9.7 Entrapment in civil cases

TOPIC 10: LINCONSTITUTIONALLY ORTAINED EVIDENCE (Week 12 and Week 13)

. 0	O 10. O110	ONOTITO HOMALET OBTAINED EVIDENCE (WEEK 12 and WEEK 13)
10.1	Introduction	
10.2	Competing i	nterests
10.3		inclusionary approach
10.4		basis and practical purpose of exclusionary approach
10.5		y rule in USA: brief survey
10.6		anadian Charter: brief survey
10.7		SA common law: inclusionary approach, and development since
	constitutiona	
10.8	Interim Cons	stitution
	10.8.1	Protection of constitutional right to fair trial
	10.8.2	Discretion to exclude unconstitutionally obtained real evidence
	10.8.3	Public opinion and repute of system
10.9	S 35(5) of C	onstitution
	10.9.1	Threshold test
	10.9.2	Causal link between violation and procurement
	10.9.3	'Standing'
	10.9.4	Admissibility of evidence unconstitutionally procured by private individuals
	10.9.5	Limitations clause in s 36
	10.9.6	Co-accused's constitutional right to fair trial
	10.9.7	Derogation in state of emergency
	10.9.8	Impeachment of accused
	10.10	First leg of test in s 35(5): 'must be excluded if admission would render the trial unfair'
	10.10.1	Trial fairness
	10.10.2	Contents of accused's constitutional right to fair trial
	10.10.3	Trial fairness and court's discretion
	10.10.4	Waiver, trial fairness and court's discretion
	10.10.5	Privilege against compelled self-incrimination: trial fairness and court's discretion
	10.10.6	Waiver, trial fairness and admissibility of derivative evidence
	10.10.7	Trial fairness and admissibility of identification evidence obtained at identification parade in absence of accused's legal representative
	10.11	Second leg of test in s 35(5): 'if admission would otherwise by

Law of Evidence B - 2018 Page 8 of 9

Presence or absence of good faith, and reasonable/unreasonable

detrimental to the administration of justice'

police conduct

Public safety and urgency

Nature and seriousness of violation

10.11.4	Availability of lawful means or methods of securing the evidence
10.11.5	Real evidence
10.11.6	Inevitable discovery, or discovery on basis of independent source
10.12	Section 35(5) and procedural matters.

Law of Evidence B – 2018 Page 9 of 9